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**Abstract**

This document to give a overall picture of our Grape. It records the reviewing process and list some unsolved problems of this system.

**1. System Review**

// Almost the same as the former doc.

**2. Review Process**

// Classify the function in the user’s view.

## 2.1. Functions of Admin

//

## 2.2. Functions of General User

//

## 2.3. Functions of Group Member

//

## 2.4. Functions of Group Leader

//

**3. Review Analysis Report**

// Test Analysis report.

**4. Existing Problems**

## 4.1. Some Existing Problems

1. Efficiency

We didn’t test whether the throughput of our Grape system. So it remains to be a question whether our system can endure a large number of people visiting our website simultaneously concerning that our web server is not a professional commercial server.

1. Quality

We’re sure that our Grape system is of good quality for our customers. However, since we are lack of experience in developing an entire software, we inevitably made some mistakes. We hope to avoid these mistakes in our next project.

1. Interface

As for the user-interface, we are also lack of experience in the web design. So our current user interface would be aviated from some of the basic rules in web design. We hope to redesign this website after certain design skills is obtained.

## 4.2. Some Unrealized Functions

Being lack of time, some of the functions is not fully accomplished. We list it below.

a) Share function:

We initially intended to make resource sharing between users in a group possible. But further analysis showed that it consumes quite a long time to design it. So we decided to abandon this function for simplicity.

**5. Review Conclusions**

The Grape system is good in precision and validity. And the user interface is friendly and responsive.

There are some details can be improved, such as the share functions remains to be accomplished; some boundary conditions should be carefully examined.

This project developing is a pleasant teamwork example. And all team members take an active part in the teamwork project developing. Our achievements are productive and we learnt a lot during developing.
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